	04/03/23 11:57 am	COUNSEL	TJG/GC	SCS3157A36
1.1	Senator moves to amen	d the delete-everyth	ing amendment (S	SCS3157A-1)
1.2	to S.F. No. 3157 as follows:			
1.3	Page 95, after line 30, insert:			
1.4	"Sec. 25. [473.453] COMPLETE BI	DDING REQUIRE	EMENTS; LEGI	SLATIVE
1.5	<u>REPORT.</u>			
1.6	Notwithstanding the provisions of se	ections 471.345 and	473.3994, if the l	Metropolitan
1.7	Council is the responsible authority of a	transit project with	a total project co	st of greater
1.8	than \$50,000,000, the council must noti	fy the chairs and rai	nking minority me	embers of the
1.9	legislative committees with jurisdiction	over transportation	finance and polic	y at least 30

- 1.10 days before bidding commences if the council's project specifications are incomplete or
- 1.11 subject to significant additions. The notification must include the council's reasons for
- 1.12 incomplete project specifications or the reasons why the significant project additions are
- 1.13 not included in the bidding process.

## 1.14 **EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION.** This section is effective the day following

- 1.15 <u>final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies</u>
- 1.16 in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.

### 1.17 Sec. 26. [473.454] CONTINGENCY PLANS AND RESERVE; REPORT REQUIRED.

1.18 (a) Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 471.345 and 473.3994, a responsible

authority must establish formal contingency plans for temporarily or permanently stopping
work if:

1.21 (1) a light rail transit project will not be completed within a year of its scheduled
1.22 completion date;

# 1.23 (2) total expenditures on the project to date are anticipated to increase by ten percent

above the most recent cost estimate; or

## 1.25 (3) any of the responsible authority's civil contractors submits a schedule update with a

- 1.26 delay of greater than six months from the most recent estimated completion date.
- 1.27 (b) A contingency plan created under this section must evaluate:
- 1.28 (1) how the responsible authority will address any increases to the total project cost;
- 1.29 (2) the impact to any delay to the responsible authority's contingency budget reserves;
- 1.30 (3) the effect on existing contractual obligations; and

COUNSEL TJG/GC

2.1	(4) a new baseline schedule for completion of the project.
2.2	Within 30 days of the contingency plan being created, the responsible authority must submit
2.3	the contingency plan to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative
2.4	committees with jurisdiction over transportation finance and policy.
2.5	(c) Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, if a responsible authority
2.6	applies for grants from the Federal Transit Administration totaling more than \$50,000,000
2.7	and the Federal Transit Administration institutes an evaluation of the responsible party's
2.8	financial capacity, the responsible authority must report to the chairs and ranking minority
2.9	members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and
2.10	finance. The report must be submitted to the legislature within 30 days of the Federal Transit
2.11	Administration initiating the review. The report must detail how the responsible authority
2.12	plans to provide sufficient funding for unexpected cost overruns and which local authority
2.13	would be responsible for providing the additional funding if necessary.
2.14	(d) A responsible authority may not adopt changes to design or construction plans for
2.15	a light rail transit project without establishing a contingency plan under this section if the
2.16	responsible authority:
2.17	(1) has insufficient funds to complete the light rail transit project; or
2.18	(2) has insufficient funds to halt the light rail transit project.
2.19	<b>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION.</b> This section is effective the day following
2.20	final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies
2.21	in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.
2.22	Sec. 27. [473.455] CONTRACT SCHEDULE REQUIREMENTS; REPORT
2.23	REQUIRED.
2.24	Subdivision 1. Schedule agreement required. (a) Notwithstanding the provisions of
2.25	sections 471.345 and 473.3994, if the council is the responsible authority for a light rail
2.26	transit project, any agreement between the council and a contractor with respect to
2.27	constructing any portion of a light rail transit project must contain a preliminary construction
2.28	schedule agreement and a proposed general baseline schedule.
2.29	(b) If the council is the responsible authority, the council must consider whether to
2.30	approve a preliminary construction schedule. A preliminary construction schedule agreement
2.31	must contain:

Sec. 27.

2

COUNSEL

TJG/GC

(1) contractual milestones needed to complete the project within the required interim 3.1 and final completion dates; 3.2 (2) a schedule for the first 180 days of work under the contract; and 3.3 (3) an initial draft baseline schedule that forms the basis of a general baseline schedule 3.4 proposed in paragraph (c). 3.5 (c) Upon approval by the council of a preliminary construction schedule, the contractor 3.6 and the council must evaluate the initial general baseline schedule set forth in paragraph 3.7 (b), clause (3), as the basis for the proposed general baseline schedule. The proposed general 3.8 baseline schedule must establish how the contractor plans to complete all contracted work 3.9 for the light rail transit project and include a detailed scope of work that includes but is not 3.10 limited to a framework that assigns costs and resources for each specifically scheduled task. 3.11 3.12 (d) If the council is the responsible authority and approves the proposed general baseline schedule with respect to constructing any portion of a light rail transit project, the contractor 3.13 must submit monthly written status reports to the council. Any late, repeat, or incomplete 3.14 submissions by the contractor are considered a nonexcusable delay and entitles the council 3.15 3.16 to stop completed work payments under subdivision 4. Subd. 2. Prohibition. If the council is the responsible authority for a light rail transit 3.17 project, construction cannot begin without an accepted general baseline schedule by both 3.18 the council and the contractor under subdivision 1, paragraph (c). The council and the 3.19 contractor must approve the preliminary construction schedule before establishing and 3.20 approving a proposed general baseline schedule. 3.21 Subd. 3. Conditional approval. If the council is the responsible authority for a light 3.22 rail transit project and the contractor proposes revision to either an approved preliminary 3.23 construction schedule or an accepted general baseline schedule under subdivision 1, paragraph 3.24 (d), the council must decide whether to approve the proposed revision before issuing any 3.25 further completed work payment to the contractor. If the council rejects the proposed revision, 3.26 the council must immediately suspend payments to the contractor. 3.27 Subd. 4. Enforcement. An agreement between the council and the contractor with 3.28 respect to constructing any portion of a light rail transit project must include provisions to 3.29 allow the council to withhold payments for completed work if the contractor is delinquent 3.30 under the general baseline schedule requirements in subdivision 1, paragraph (c), and for 3.31 conditional approval of construction as provided in subdivision 3. Withheld payments under 3.32 this subdivision must be greater than five percent and less than ten percent of the total 3.33 payment requested by the contractor. 3.34

3

4.1	Subd. 5. Report required. (a) If the council is the responsible authority and a preliminary
4.2	construction schedule and a general baseline schedule are approved for constructing a portion
4.3	of a light rail project, the council must submit the preliminary construction schedule and
4.4	general baseline schedule to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative
4.5	committees with jurisdiction over transportation finance and policy within 30 days.
4.6	(b) If the council is the responsible authority, and no agreement can be reached on a
4.7	general baseline schedule under subdivision 1, paragraph (c), the council must submit a
4.8	report to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with
4.9	jurisdiction over transportation finance and policy within 30 days on the barriers facing
4.10	approval of the general baseline construction schedule.
4.11	(c) If the council is the responsible authority and receives notification of a proposed
4.12	revision to either the preliminary construction schedule or general baseline schedule under
4.13	subdivision 3, the council must report to the chairs and ranking minority members of the
4.14	legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation finance and policy within 14
4.15	days of the proposed revision on the estimated impact on the project completion date and
4.16	total project cost from the proposed revision. If the council rejects the proposed revision,
4.17	the council must notify the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees
4.18	with jurisdiction over transportation finance and policy within seven days of rejection.
4.19	(d) If the council is the responsible authority and withholds completed work payments
4.20	greater than \$50,000 from a contractor under subdivision 4, the council must report to the
4.21	chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over
4.22	transportation finance and policy within 14 days on the amount withheld, the reasons for
4.23	withholding payment, and the steps needed to address the delay.
4.24	<b>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION.</b> This section is effective the day following
4.25	final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies
4.26	in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.
4.07	See 29 1472 45/1 REED DEVIEW FOR CERTAIN DROIFCT EI EMENTS.
4.27	Sec. 28. [473.456] PEER REVIEW FOR CERTAIN PROJECT ELEMENTS;
4.28	LEGISLATIVE REPORTS.
4.29	(a) For purposes of this section, the term "value analysis" has the meaning given in
4.30	section 174.15, subdivision 3, and the term "value engineering proposal" has the meaning
4.31	given in section 174.15, subdivision 4.
4.32	(b) Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, if the Metropolitan Council is the responsible

4.33 <u>authority for a light rail transit project, the council must establish a multiparty peer review</u>

33       application must be filed within 180 days of the start date of the contract, and every 90 day         34       thereafter until the project is completed. The commissioner of transportation must review         35       the multiparty peer review application and determine whether to initiate a multiparty peer         36       review. In determining whether to initiate a peer review, the commissioner must apply value         37       analysis to either (1) the entirety of the light rail transit project, (2) a project element at rise         38       of delay or high costs, or (3) any new or substantial work proposed after civil construction         39       bidding was completed.         510       (c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after         511       reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project clement         512       will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and product         513       a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issued         514       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed.         515       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyzed         516       (1) improvements to efficiencies in construction methods;         517       (2) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and	5.1	application to initiate a multiparty peer review process with the Department of Transportation
S4         Intereafter until the project is completed. The commissioner of transportation must review           55         the multiparty peer review application and determine whether to initiate a multiparty peer           56         review. In determining whether to initiate a peer review, the commissioner must apply value           57         analysis to either (1) the entirety of the light rail transit project, (2) a project element at rise           58         of delay or high costs, or (3) any new or substantial work proposed after civil construction           59         bidding was completed.           50         (c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after           511         reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element           512         will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and product           513         a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue           514         by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formerent           515         In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyze           516         (1) improvements to the change order process;           518         (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;           519         (4) improvements or efficiencicis in the procurement process; an	5.2	and any counties within which a transit project is to be operated. The multiparty peer review
1       the multiparty peer review application and determine whether to initiate a multiparty peer         1       review. In determining whether to initiate a peer review, the commissioner must apply value         1       analysis to either (1) the entirety of the light rail transit project, (2) a project element at ris         1       of delay or high costs, or (3) any new or substantial work proposed after civil construction         1       bidding was completed.         1       (c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after         1       reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element         11       reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element         12       will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and produce         13       a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue         14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formere         15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyze         16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process;         17       (2) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         19	5.3	application must be filed within 180 days of the start date of the contract, and every 90 days
5.6       review. In determining whether to initiate a peer review, the commissioner must apply value         5.7       analysis to either (1) the entirety of the light rail transit project, (2) a project element at ris         5.8       of delay or high costs, or (3) any new or substantial work proposed after eivil construction         5.9       bidding was completed.         5.10       (c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after         5.11       reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element         5.12       will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and product         5.13       a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue         5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is former         5.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyzed         5.16       (2) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         5.17       (2) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         6.11       with existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is submitted under this section, the report must         <	5.4	thereafter until the project is completed. The commissioner of transportation must review
5.7       analysis to either (1) the entirety of the light rail transit project, (2) a project element at ris         5.8       of delay or high costs, or (3) any new or substantial work proposed after civil construction         5.9       bidding was completed.         5.10       (c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after         5.11       reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element         5.12       will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and product         5.13       a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue         5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed.         5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed.         5.14       by the multiparty peer review order process;         5.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyzed         5.17       (2) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         5.17       (2) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and         5.23       (e) If a value e	5.5	the multiparty peer review application and determine whether to initiate a multiparty peer
5.8       of delay or high costs, or (3) any new or substantial work proposed after civil construction         5.9       bidding was completed.         5.10       (c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after         5.11       reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element         5.12       will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and product         5.13       a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue         5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formered         5.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyzed         5.16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         5.17       (2) improvements to the change order process;         5.18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         5.19       (4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.         5.23       (e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report must be submitted within 30 days to the chairs a	5.6	review. In determining whether to initiate a peer review, the commissioner must apply value
bidding was completed.         5.9       (c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project clement will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and product a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed.         5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed.         5.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyzed.         5.16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         5.17       (2) improvements to the change order process;         5.18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         5.19       (4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.         5.23       (e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report must be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.         5.26 <b>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION, This section is effective the day fo</b>	5.7	analysis to either (1) the entirety of the light rail transit project, (2) a project element at risk
<ul> <li>(c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and product a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is former. In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyzet (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;</li> <li>(2) improvements to the change order process;</li> <li>(3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;</li> <li>(4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and</li> <li>(5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.</li> <li>(6) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report must be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION, This section is effective the day following final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> </ul>	5.8	of delay or high costs, or (3) any new or substantial work proposed after civil construction
5.11       reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element         5.12       will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and produc         5.13       a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue         5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed.         5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed.         5.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyzed.         5.16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         5.17       (2) improvements to the change order process;         5.18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         5.19       (4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and         5.23       (e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu         5.24       be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative         5.25       committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.         5.26       EFFECTIV	5.9	bidding was completed.
5.12       will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and produc         5.13       a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue         5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is former.         5.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyze         5.16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         5.17       (2) improvements to the change order process;         5.18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         5.19       (4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and         5.22       administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.         5.23       (c) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report must be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.         5.26 <b>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION</b> . This section is effective the day following         5.27       final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applied         5.28       in the counties of Ano	5.10	(c) If the commissioner of transportation determines a value analysis is appropriate after
s.13       a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issue         s.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is former         s.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is former         s.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyze         s.16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         s.17       (2) improvements to the change order process;         s.18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         s.19       (4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         s.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         s.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and         s.22       administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.         s.23       (e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu         s.24       be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislativ         s.25       committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.         s.26       EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following         s.27       final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October	5.11	reviewing the multiparty peer review application, or if the council estimates a project element
5.14       by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed         5.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyze         5.16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods:         5.17       (2) improvements to the change order process;         5.18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         5.19       (4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and         5.22       e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu         5.23       (e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu         5.24       be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.         5.26       EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following         5.27       final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applie         5.28       in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.         5.29       Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION         5.3	5.12	will exceed more than \$20,000,000, the multiparty peer review must convene and produce
5.15       In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyze         5.16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         5.17       (2) improvements to the change order process;         5.18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         5.19       (4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and         5.22       (e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu         5.23       (e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu         5.24       be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.         5.26       EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following         5.27       final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies         5.28       in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.         5.29       Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION         5.30       REQUIREMENT.	5.13	a value engineering proposal report. The value engineering proposal report must be issued
5.16       (1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;         5.17       (2) improvements to the change order process;         5.18       (3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;         5.19       (4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and         5.20       (5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.         5.21       (d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and         5.22       administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.         5.23       (e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu         5.24       be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislativ         5.25       committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.         5.26       EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following         5.27       final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applie         5.28       in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.         5.29       Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION         5.30       REQUIREMENT.	5.14	by the multiparty peer review within six months after the multiparty peer review is formed.
<ul> <li>(2) improvements to the change order process;</li> <li>(3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;</li> <li>(4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and</li> <li>(5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.</li> <li>(d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.</li> <li>(e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislativ committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.15	In addition to the evaluation under section 174.15, subdivision 4, the report must analyze:
<ul> <li>(3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;</li> <li>(4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and</li> <li>(5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.</li> <li>(d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.</li> <li>(e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report muse be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.16	(1) improvements or efficiencies in construction methods;
<ul> <li>(4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and</li> <li>(5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.</li> <li>(d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.</li> <li>(e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu</li> <li>be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following</li> <li>final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applie in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.17	(2) improvements to the change order process;
<ul> <li>(5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.</li> <li>(d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.</li> <li>(e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applie in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.18	(3) an evaluation of contractor oversight and best practices;
<ul> <li>(d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.</li> <li>(e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu</li> <li>be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following</li> <li>final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies</li> <li>in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.19	(4) improvements or efficiencies in the procurement process; and
<ul> <li>administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.</li> <li>(e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu</li> <li>be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative</li> <li>committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following</li> <li>final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applie</li> <li>in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.20	(5) any contractual issues arising from the transit project.
<ul> <li>(e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report mu</li> <li>be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative</li> <li>committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following</li> <li>final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applie</li> <li>in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.21	(d) With existing resources, the council is responsible for the costs of conducting and
<ul> <li>be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.22	administering the peer review and value engineering proposal.
<ul> <li>5.25 committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.</li> <li>5.26 EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following</li> <li>5.27 final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applie</li> <li>5.28 in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>5.29 Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>5.30 REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.23	(e) If a value engineering proposal report is submitted under this section, the report must
<ul> <li>5.26 EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION. This section is effective the day following</li> <li>5.27 final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applie</li> <li>5.28 in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>5.29 Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>5.30 REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.24	be submitted within 30 days to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative
<ul> <li>5.27 <u>final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies</u></li> <li>5.28 <u>in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</u></li> <li>5.29 Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>5.30 <u>REQUIREMENT.</u></li> </ul>	5.25	committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance.
<ul> <li>5.28 in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.</li> <li>5.29 Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>5.30 <u>REQUIREMENT.</u></li> </ul>	5.26	<b>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION.</b> This section is effective the day following
<ul> <li>5.29 Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION</li> <li>5.30 REQUIREMENT.</li> </ul>	5.27	final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies
5.30 <b>REQUIREMENT.</b>	5.28	in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.
5.30 <b>REQUIREMENT.</b>	5.29	Sec. 29. [473.46] PROJECT COMPLETION DELAY NOTIFICATION
5.31 (a) Notwithstanding the requirements in Laws 2022, chapter 39, section 2, the responsib		· · ·
	5.31	(a) Notwithstanding the requirements in Laws 2022, chapter 39, section 2, the responsible

#### authority for a light rail transit project must provide status updates on the light rail project 5.32

6.1	to the chairs and ranking minority members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction
6.2	over transportation policy and finance. The status reports must be provided biannually by
6.3	January 1 and July 1 and must include:
6.4	(1) total expenditures on the project during the previous three months as compared to
6.5	projections;
6.6	(2) total expenditures on the project during the next three-, six-, and nine-month intervals;
6.7	(3) total expenditures on the project to date;
6.8	(4) the total project cost estimate; and
6.9	(5) any change in the date of anticipated project completion.
6.10	(b) The responsible authority must notify the chairs and ranking minority members of
6.11	the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and finance within
6.12	seven calendar days when:
6.13	(1) the authority is deliberating whether a delay in the light rail project completion date
6.14	of three months or more beyond the estimated completion date is likely to occur; and
6.15	(2) the authority is deliberating whether an increase to the total light rail project cost is
6.16	anticipated to increase by \$50,000,000 or five percent or more above the most recent cost
6.17	estimate, whichever is less.
6.18	(c) A responsible authority providing a status report under this section must initiate a
6.19	multiparty peer review as provided under section 473.456 and conduct separate value
6.20	engineering studies for individual project elements expected to cause the delay or increase
6.21	in project cost within 30 days of filing the status report to the legislature.
6.22	<b>EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION.</b> This section is effective the day following
6.23	final enactment and applies to bids made on or after October 1, 2023. This section applies
6.24	in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakota, Hennepin, Ramsey, Scott, and Washington.
6.25	Sec. 30. [473.461] SETTLEMENT EXPENSES; LEGISLATIVE REPORT.
6.26	If the council is the responsible authority and enters into a settlement agreement with a
6.27	contractor in association with the construction of a light rail transit project, the Metropolitan
6.28	Council must submit a settlement expenditure notification to the chairs and ranking minority
6.29	members of the legislative committees with jurisdiction over transportation policy and
6.30	finance within 21 calendar days. The settlement expenditure notification must include:

6.31 (1) the terms of the settlement agreement;

	04/03/23 11:57 am	COUNSEL	TJG/GC	SCS3157A36
7.1	(2) the total expenditure of the settle	ment agreement;		
7.2 7.3	(3) whether the settlement agreemen light rail project;	t will lengthen the t	imeline for constr	ruction of the
7.4 7.5	(4) whether the settlement agreemen council and the contractor;	t resolves all outsta	nding disputes be	tween the
7.6 7.7	(5) whether the settlement agreemen $and$	t increases estimate	d project expense	s and costs;
7.8 7.9	(6) whether the settlement agreemen dispute resolution.	t requires the counc	il to participate ir	<u>alternative</u>
7.10	EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATI	ON. This section is	effective the day	following
7.11	final enactment and applies to bids mad	e on or after Octobe	er 1, 2023. This se	ction applies
7.12	in the counties of Anoka, Carver, Dakot	a, Hennepin, Ramso	ey, Scott, and Was	shington. "

7.13 Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references